

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,140	08/21/2003	Kylo Walczak	200312628-1	7136	
22879	22879 7590 08/01/2005			EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPANY	CHANG, Y	CHANG, YEAN HSI		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
			2835	2835	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/645,140	WALCZAK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Yean-Hsi Chang	2835			
	he MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period for R		/ IO OFT TO EVOIDE • MON	ATTIMO) EDOM			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the period - If NO period - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Re	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 July 2005</u> .					
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Sir	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
clo	sed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-4,6-9 and 11-20</u> is/are pending in th	e application.				
4a)	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Cla	aim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Cla	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application	Papers					
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) <u></u> The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
App	plicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Re	placement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached O	office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) <u></u>	All b) Some * c) None of:	•				
1.[Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2.[☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	lication No			
3.[Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been red	ceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `				
* See	the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not red	ceived.			
AAA						
Attachment(s)	References Cited (RTO 900)	∧ □				
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Iail Date			
3) Information	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	— · · · · ·	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/645,140

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: The second "a plurality of drawers" in claim 15 should not use an "a" as the article if it refers to the same elements recited, otherwise, a different name should be given for avoiding ambiguity. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bolton et al. (US 5,168,424).

Bolton teaches a computer rack system (fig. 1) comprising: electronic components (55 and 60, for example), a rack (not shown, see col. 3, lines 30-32) including means (20) for supporting said electronic components therein, a drawer (20) slidably mounted in said rack and configured to receive at least one data storage device (60), at least one removable tray (part of 60, not labeled) in said drawer, and a lid (30) for preventing access to data storage devices stored in said tray (claims 1 and 3); wherein said drawer includes means (22) for supporting said data storage device in a

Art Unit: 2835

desired position (80) (claim 2); and wherein said tray includes means for supporting said data storage device in a desired position such that an exposed face (shown in fig. 1) of said data storage device is visible (claims 6-7).

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Emrich (US 5,097,946).

Emrich teaches a computer rack system (fig. 1) comprising: electronic components (CD not shown, see col. 2, line 4), a rack (11) including means (25) for supporting said electronic components therein, a drawer (31) slidably mounted in said rack and configured to receive at least one data storage device, at least one removable tray (51) in said drawer, and a lid (59) for preventing access to the data storage devices stored in said tray (claim 1).

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith (US 6,654,239 B2).

Application/Control Number: 10/645,140

Art Unit: 2835

Smith teaches a computer rack system (10, fig. 1) comprising: electronic components (fig. 1), a rack (not shown) including means (19) for supporting said electronic components therein, and a drawer (14) slidably mounted in said rack and configured to receive at least one data storage device (22), at least one removable tray (24) in said drawer, and a lid (26) for preventing access to data storage devices stored in said tray (claim 1); and wherein said drawer has a height that is an integral multiple of 1.75 inches (4.45 cm) (see col. 1, lines 44-64) (claim 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emrich in view of Yamaguchi (US 4,488,645).

Emrich discloses the claimed invention except the data storage device is supported in an inclined position.

Yamaguchi teaches a computer rack system (fig. 8) comprising a data storage device (48) being supported in an inclined position (shown in fig. 8).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Emrich with the support taught by Yamaguchi such that the drawer would not fall out of the rack without a stopping means.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,140

Art Unit: 2835

9. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emrich in view of Brandt et al. (US 5,269,409).

Emrich discloses the claimed invention except the tray being configured to receive a plurality of data storage devices.

Brandt teaches a disc tray (11, fig. 1) being configured to receive a plurality of data storage devices (3, see col. 1, lines 20-24).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Emrich with the CD tray taught by Brandt for economical purposes.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 11-20 are allowed.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The best prior art of record, Bolton et al. (US 5,168,424), Emrich (US 5,097,946), Smith (US 6,654,239 B2), Clausen (US 6,039,190), Yamaguchi (US 4,488,645), Brandt et al. (US 5,269,409), Mueller et al. (US 6,804,877 B2), Jahne et al. (US 6,535,381 B2), and Hunt (US 6,332,546 B1), taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or fairly suggest: among other limitations, means for locking a lid of at least one removable tray in a drawer slidably mounted in a rack of a computer rack system as set forth in claim 11; and at least one data storage device received in the drawer, including

a memory chip as set forth in claims 12, 13 and 15. Claims 14, and 16-20 are dependent claims from claims 13 and 15, respectively.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yean-Hsi Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-2038. The examiner can normally be reached on 07:30 - 16:00, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Art Unit phone number is (571) 272-2800, ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-8558.

Yean-Hsi Chang **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 2835 July 30, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER